

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: ACACIA MEDIA) C-05-01114-JW
TECHNOLOGIES)
CORPORATION PATENT) SEPTEMBER 9, 2005
LITIGATION.)
) VOLUME 2
)
)
) PAGES 217-428

THE PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD BEFORE
THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
JUDGE JAMES WARE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN
BY: RODERICK G. DORMAN
ALAN P. BLOCK
ROBERT BERMAN
601 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017

(APPEARANCED CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR
CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR
CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595

1 A P P E A R A N C E S: (CONT'D)

2 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: KEKER & VAN NEST

3 BY: DARALYN DURIE

4 DAVID J. SILBERT

710 SANSOME STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

5 94111

6 MORRISON FOERSTER

7 BY: MATTHEW I. KREEGER

RACHEL KREVANS

8 425 MARKET STREET

9 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

BAKER BOTTS

10 BY: MITCHELL D. LUKIN

ONE SHELL PLAZA

11 910 LOUISIANA STREET

12 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002

FISH & RICHARDSON

13 BY: TODD G. MILLER

12390 EL CAMINO REAL

14 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92130

MOUNT & STOELKER

15 BY: BOBBY T. SHIH

RIVERPARK TOWER, 17TH FLOOR

16 333 W. SAN CARLOS STREET

17 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI

18 BY: ANNAMARIE A. DALEY

EMMETT J. MCMAHON

19 2800 LASALLE PLAZA

20 800 LASALLE AVENUE

21 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

HECKER LAW GROUP

22 BY: GARY A. HECKER

JAMES MICHAEL SLOMINSKI

23 1925 CENTURY PARK EAST

SUITE 2300

24 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

A P P E A R A N C E S: (CONT'D)

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE
BY: KEVIN MCBRIDE
VICTOR SAVIKAS
CHARLES WONG

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN
BY: BRADFORD P. LYERLA
JEFFREY H. DEAN
KEVIN HOGG
6300 SEARS TOWER
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606

1 HONOR.

2 I THINK THAT'S ALL I HAVE ON TRANSMISSION
3 SYSTEM.

4 THE COURT: DID YOU HAVE ANOTHER TERM?

5 MR. BLOCK: I DO.

6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

7 MR. BLOCK: LAST TERM, TRANSCEIVER.

8 THE DIRECT T.V. DEFENDANTS WANT YOU TO
9 CONSTRUE TRANSCEIVER TO BE LIMITED TO A SINGLE
10 COMMUNICATION MEDIUM FOR BOTH TRANSMISSION AND
11 RECEIVING.

12 AND THEIR SUPPORT FOR THIS NEW
13 CONSTRUCTION COMES FROM THEIR EXPERT AND WE CONTEND
14 THAT, THAT THIS CONSTRUCTION WHICH COMES FROM AN,
15 AN EXPERT, AND THIS IS DR. LIPPMAN WHO WASN'T HERE
16 TODAY, IT COULD CONTRADICT THE PLAIN MEANING AND
17 THE EMBODIMENTS DISCLOSED IN THE PATENT, AND THUS
18 IT WOULD BE CONTRADICTING IT.

19 THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT TELLS US IN VITRONICS
20 THAT THE COURT SHOULDN'T CONSIDER EXPERT TESTIMONY
21 THAT WOULD CONTRADICT CLEAR CLAIM MEANINGS AND
22 EMBODIMENTS IN THE PATENTS.

23 LET ME TURN TO SHOW YOU FIGURE 6, YOUR
24 HONOR.

25 AND WE HAVE THE TRANSCEIVER IN FIGURE 6

1 WHICH WE KNOW RECEIVES INFORMATION AND TRANSMITS
2 INFORMATION AND, AND NEXT TO THE ARROW IT SAYS THAT
3 THE DIFFERENT PLACES WHERE INFORMATION COULD BE
4 RECEIVED FROM, AND ONE OF THOSE IS SATELLITE.

5 FIGURE 2B, PLEASE.

6 2B SHOWS US THAT AT LEAST IN ONE
7 EMBODIMENT, AT LEAST IN ONE EMBODIMENT THE
8 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM INCLUDES A TRANSMITTER THAT CAN
9 TRANSMIT OVER A SATELLITE.

10 NOW, THE PATENT DESCRIBES AN EMBODIMENT
11 WHICH FULLY READS ON WHAT DIRECT T.V. DOES IN TERMS
12 OF HOW RECEPTION FROM A SATELLITE OCCURS AND HOW
13 COMMUNICATION FROM THE RECEPTION SYSTEM WOULD BE
14 SENT BACK TO THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.

15 AND THAT'S REQUIRED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT
16 MATERIALS WERE RECEIVED AND TO BILL THE SUBSCRIBER
17 FOR THE MATERIAL THAT THEY RECEIVED.

18 AND THE PATENT TELLS US IN COLUMN 16,
19 LINE 34, IT DESCRIBES THIS EMBODIMENT.

20 WHAT IT SAYS IS THAT WHEN ITEM
21 DISTRIBUTION OCCURS THROUGH A BROADCASTING METHOD,
22 SUCH AS A COMMUNICATIONS SATELLITE, THE PROCESS IS
23 ONE WAY WITH ONGOING RECEPTION NOT BEING CONFIRMED
24 BY THE RECEPTION SYSTEM 200.

25 IN THESE SITUATIONS SOME FURTHER

1 REDUNDANCY IS INCLUDED BY TRANSMISSION FORMATTER
2 122 WITH THE DATA BLOCKS FOR ERROR CORRECTION
3 PROCESS BEING PERFORMED IN THE RECEPTOR SYSTEM.

4 NOW IT TELLS US HOW IT'S GOING TO OCCUR
5 IN SUCH ONE-WAY COMMUNICATIONS SITUATIONS. "THE
6 QUEUE MANAGER PROGRAM RUNNING IN LIBRARY SYSTEM
7 CONTROL COMPUTER CONFIRMS RECEPTION VIA TELEPHONE
8 LINE CONNECTION, FOR EXAMPLE, TO THE RECEPTION
9 SYSTEM AFTER DISTRIBUTION. THIS SHOULD OCCUR PRIOR
10 TO UPDATING THE USER'S ACCOUNT AND THE DISPATCH
11 LISTS."

12 IT'S SIMILAR TO THE WAY THAT FIGURE 2B
13 COULD BE COMBINED WITH FIGURE 6. WE SHOW WHAT IS
14 BEING COMBINED.

15 SO THE RED LINE SHOWS THE SATELLITE
16 TRANSMISSION COMING FROM THE TRANSMITTER SYSTEM AND
17 BEING RECEIVED BY THE TRANSCEIVER OF THE RECEIVING
18 SYSTEM, AND THE COMMUNICATION THAT IS GOING BACK TO
19 THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM OCCURS ON THE BLUE LINE
20 FROM THE TRANSCEIVER TO THE TELEPHONE LINE.

21 HOW DO WE KNOW THAT THIS TRANSMISSION
22 OCCURS, THAT THE TRANSMISSION TO THE TRANSMISSION
23 SYSTEM IS BEING PERFORMED BY THE TRANSCEIVER? THE
24 PAGE I READ TO YOU DOESN'T USE THE WORD
25 TRANSCEIVER.

1 WELL, WE HAVE THE BENEFIT OF
2 DR. LIPPMAN'S DECLARATION, 28. HE TELLS US THAT HE
3 UNDERSTOOD THE PATENT TO REQUIRE THAT THIS
4 TRANSMISSION OCCURS IN THE TRANSCEIVER.

5 IT SAYS FURTHER, WITH RESPECT TO THE
6 TWO-WAY COMMUNICATION PROCESS, HE'S SPEAKING NOW
7 ABOUT, ABOUT THE ISDN EXAMPLE WHERE THERE'S A
8 TWO-WAY PROCESS, BECAUSE THE TRANSCEIVER 201
9 ILLUSTRATED IN FIGURE 6 AND DESCRIBED AT 17:22 TO
10 25 IS DISCLOSED AS PART OF THE RECEPTION SYSTEM 200
11 THAT RECEIVES THE DATA FROM THE TRANSMISSION
12 SYSTEM.

13 ONE SKILLED IN THE ART WOULD UNDERSTAND
14 THAT THE TRANSCEIVER OF THE RECEPTION SYSTEM
15 TRANSMITS THE CONFIRMATION OF THE RECEPTION BACK TO
16 THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.

17 THE COURT: WHY WOULD THIS BE LIMITED?
18 WHY WOULD THIS BE LIMITED TO THE ONE-WAY SITUATION?

19 MR. BLOCK: WHAT I'M DESCRIBING IS ONE
20 EMBODIMENT.

21 THE COURT: IT WOULDN'T NECESSARILY. IN
22 OTHER WORDS --

23 MR. BLOCK: YOU'RE RIGHT. IT WOULDN'T BE
24 LIMITED IN THE TWO-WAY EMBODIMENT. THE
25 TRANSMISSION BACK COULD GO OVER A DIFFERENT LINE.

1 THE COURT: RIGHT. AND THEN IT ALSO
2 MEANS THAT THE COMMUNICATION COULD COME IN IN ONE
3 MEDIUM AND GO OTHER IN ANOTHER?

4 MR. BLOCK: THAT'S CORRECT.

5 THE COURT: THE QUESTION THEN BECOMES, IS
6 THAT AS ONE WOULD UNDERSTAND IT IN THE ART
7 LIMITING, LIMITED TO A TRANSCEIVER, OR IS THERE
8 SOME OTHER PROCESS BY WHICH THINGS GO IN ONE WAY
9 AND COME OUT ANOTHER THAT NEEDS TO BE ACKNOWLEDGED
10 BEFORE YOU CALL IT A TRANSCEIVER?

11 IS IT SOMETHING ELSE? I DON'T KNOW
12 ENOUGH ABOUT -- I DEFINE TRANSCEIVERS SIMPLY AS
13 SOMETHING THAT BRINGS WHAT IT GOT IN AND SENDS WHAT
14 IT'S GOT OUT. IT JUST DOES BOTH RECEIVING AND
15 TRANSMITTING.

16 NOW, WHAT YOU'RE TELLING ME IS THAT IT
17 CAN DO SOME CONVERSIONS OR CALCULATIONS OR SOME
18 ACKNOWLEDGING IN A FASHION DIFFERENT, OVER A MEDIUM
19 DIFFERENT THAN WHAT IT GOT. IT CAN COME IN ON A
20 PHONE LINE AND GO OUT ON AN ANTENNA.

21 MR. BLOCK: OR THE OTHER WAY AROUND.

22 THE COURT: RIGHT. OR THE OTHER WAY
23 AROUND.

24 MR. BLOCK: I'M NOT SAYING THAT THE
25 TRANSCEIVER ITSELF IS DOING ANY OF THE CALCULATING

1 YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. THE RECEPTION AS A WHOLE IS
2 DOING THE CALCULATING.

3 I'M JUST SAYING WHAT IS IT THAT CAUSES
4 THE TRANSMISSION FROM THE RECEPTION SYSTEM TO BE
5 TRANSMITTED TO THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM. THIS ISN'T
6 RESPONDING TO THE --

7 THE COURT: WELL, WE'RE DEALING WITH THE
8 DEFINITION OF A TRANSCEIVER. THERE MAY BE OTHER
9 THINGS IN THE CIRCUIT THAT COULD TAKE A CO-AX
10 SIGNAL AND SEND IT OUT ON A PHONE LINE, BUT IS THAT
11 A TRANSCEIVER?

12 MR. BLOCK: IF IT'S CAPABLE OF PERFORMING
13 TRANSMITTING AND RECEIVING, THEN IT IS A
14 TRANSCEIVER.

15 THE COURT: BUT ISN'T THERE ANOTHER
16 FUNCTION? IF I WERE TO INVENT SOMETHING CALLED A
17 TRANSCEIVER AND I WANTED TO DISCLOSE TO THE WORLD
18 THAT IT NOT ONLY TOOK THINGS IN AND SENT THEM OUT,
19 BUT IT ALSO COULD CONVERT FROM ONE KIND OF A SIGNAL
20 AT A GIVEN ELECTRICAL HEIGHT TO ANOTHER SIGNAL,
21 WOULDN'T I HAVE TO DESCRIBE THAT USING SOMETHING
22 CALLED A TRANSCEIVER?

23 MR. BLOCK: I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WOULD BE
24 REQUIRED, YOUR HONOR.

25 THE COURT: BUT YOUR -- YOU'RE

1 ACKNOWLEDGING, BASED ON YOUR ARGUMENT, THAT THAT
2 RED AND BLUE LINE THAT YOU DREW, INSTEAD OF BEING A
3 TWO-WAY LINE OUT OF, OUT OF THE TOP BOX WHICH WAS
4 ISDN COULD BE A RED LINE OUT OF ISDN AND A BLUE
5 LINE BACK INTO PHONE FOR PURPOSES OF ACKNOWLEDGING
6 AND TRANSMITTING AND RECEIVING?

7 MR. BLOCK: ACCORDING TO THE PATENT
8 THAT'S THE CASE. THERE'S NOTHING IN THE PATENT
9 THAT SAYS THAT THAT TRANSMISSION FROM THE
10 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM OVER THE ISDN MUST ALWAYS OCCUR
11 BACK TO THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM OVER THE ISDN.

12 THE COURT: AND YOU NEED THE BLUE LINE IN
13 THE CASE OF THE SATELLITE AND THE CABLE BECAUSE, BY
14 DEFINITION, THEY CANNOT RECEIVE?

15 MR. BLOCK: THE SATELLITE TRANSMITTER ON
16 THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM CAN'T DO ANY OF THAT,
17 THAT'S CORRECT. IT'S MERELY A TRANSMITTER.

18 THE COURT: WHY DO THOSE BLOCKS SAY
19 TRANSCEIVER ON THE, ON THE TRANSMISSION SIDE?

20 MR. BLOCK: BECAUSE THE PATENTEE IN THIS
21 EMBODIMENT WAS SAYING THAT IN THE CASE OF ISDN WE
22 CAN USE TRANSCEIVERS.

23 THE COURT: BUT THEY NEVER DISCLOSE A
24 TRANSCEIVER AS A FEATURE OF A TRANSMISSION SYSTEM,
25 DO THEY?

1 MR. BLOCK: THEY DISCLOSE TRANSMITTERS.

2 THE COURT: RIGHT. SO THOSE SHOULD BE
3 REALLY TRANSMITTERS?

4 MR. BLOCK: THEY DON'T HAVE TO BE
5 TRANSMITTERS. THEY CAN BE TRANSCEIVERS.
6 TRANSCEIVERS OBVIOUSLY HAVE A TRANSCEIVING
7 FUNCTION, SO IT'S A TRANSMITTER THAT DOES SOMETHING
8 ELSE. IT CAN ALSO RECEIVE.

9 THE COURT: BUT THAT'S NEVER DISCLOSED AS
10 PART OF THE INVENTION.

11 MR. BLOCK: IT'S DISCLOSED IN THE
12 DRAWING, BUT IT'S NOT DESCRIBED IN THE
13 SPECIFICATION IF THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE ASKING.

14 THE COURT: OKAY. BUT YOU'RE
15 REJECTING -- LET'S SEE. IF I ADOPT THE DEFINITION
16 THAT I'VE BEEN ASKED TO, WHICH IS OVER A, A SINGLE
17 MEDIUM, THAT WOULD BE, THAT WOULD BE ONLY A PROBLEM
18 WITH RESPECT TO THE CABLE AND SATELLITE?

19 MR. BLOCK: IT WOULD ELIMINATE
20 EMBODIMENTS, EMBODIMENTS THAT ARE COVERED BY THE
21 CLAIM.

22 THE COURT: WHY WOULDN'T THE USER
23 INTERFACE DEVICE THERE THAT IS PART OF THE SYSTEM
24 COVER THAT?

25 MR. BLOCK: BECAUSE THAT'S AN INTERFACE.

1 THE COURT: IT'S GOT A LINE GOING OUT.

2 MR. BLOCK: IT'S GOT A LINE GOING OUT.

3 THE COURT: WHAT IS THAT LINE DOING?

4 MR. BLOCK: I THINK THAT LINE SHOULD BE
5 UP BY THE TRANSCEIVER.

6 AND WHEN WE READ THE REST OF WHAT
7 DR. LIPPMAN SAID IN, IN PARAGRAPH 28, HE ANSWERS
8 YOUR QUESTION BECAUSE HE'S LOOKED AT THAT SAME
9 FIGURE 6 AND HE DOESN'T INTERPRET 27 INTERFACE TO
10 BE WHAT IS DOING TRANSMITTING.

11 THE COURT: NOT TRANSMITTING. WHAT
12 YOU'RE ASKING IT TO DO IS TO JUST TELL SOME
13 TRANSMITTING SYSTEM THAT IT GOT WHAT IT SENT.

14 MR. BLOCK: THE TELEPHONE CALL IS
15 ACTUALLY DONE BY THE TRANSMITTING SYSTEM BECAUSE
16 IT'S THE LIBRARY SYSTEM CONTROL COMPUTER THAT
17 INITIATES THE PHONE CALL.

18 THE COURT: NOT THE USER AT THE RECEIVING
19 SIDE?

20 MR. BLOCK: NO, BECAUSE THIS IS -- THIS
21 IS -- THIS IS -- THE TRANSMISSION SYSTEM NEEDS TO
22 UPDATE ITSELF. IT NEEDS TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON
23 SO IT MAKES THE PHONE CALL.

24 THE COURT: I SEE. SO IT'S LIKE A
25 HANDSHAKE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE TRANSMISSION?